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Abstract

Background: To evaluate the efficacy of dexmedetomidine (DEX) versus midazolam (MDZ) for sedation during gynecologic surgery under epidural anesthesia.

Methods: This was a randomized, double-blinded study. The study was designed to accrue 121 patients scheduled for oophorectomy or/and hysterectomy, to test for equivalence in sedation during surgical procedures, defined as less than a 10% difference in the proportion of patients with a Ramsay Sedation Scale (RSS) score of < 3 (1 - 6 scale).

Results: One hundred forty patients were enrolled, with 124 patients evaluable for outcomes: 63 received midazolam, while 61 received dexmedetomidine. Dexmedetomidine produced comparable sedation and satisfaction scores to midazolam, but it was not associated with respiratory depression. Bispectral index (BIS) values were significantly less with dexmedetomidine than midazolam at the same RSS score (P < 0.05). Compared with Group MDZ, heart rate (HR) of Group DEX was lower at each time point in the surgery, and there were no significant differences in mean artery pressure (MAP) between the two subject groups.

Conclusions: Dexmedetomidine for sedation in gynecologic surgery under epidural anesthesia is safe and feasible. Compared with midazolam, it not only provides a satisfactory and arousable sedation but without respiratory depression.
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Introduction

During epidural anesthesia in patients undergoing gynecologic surgery, intravenous sedative-analgesia drugs are often administered to make patient comfort eliminating mental stress and visceral reaction, to improve surgical conditions, and to prevent recall of unpleasant events. Midazolam combined with opioids are commonly used for anxiolysis and/or analgesia. It is essential that patients be responsive and capable of maintaining their airway without assistance. Unfortunately, this combination of drugs can cause respiratory depression, placing the patient at risk for hypoxemia. In a study of volunteers, Bailey et al reported that the combination of midazolam and fentanyl increased the frequency of hypoxemia in 11 of 12 subjects and produced apnea in 6 of 12 subjects [1].

Dexmedetomidine (DEX) is an alpha-2 adrenoceptor agonist that, although similar in site of action to clonidine, is a pure agonist rather than a partial agonist at the receptor level [2]. Its affinity for binding to the alpha-2/alpha-1 receptor is 1300:1 compared with 39:1 for clonidine [3, 4]. It has potent sedative analgesia-sparing properties [5 -11]. At therapeutic doses, dexmedetomidine is not associated with respiratory depression despite often-times profound levels of sedation [5, 12]. Because of these properties (sedation, analgesia, and respiratory-sparing), dexmedetomidine might prove useful for sedation during epidural anesthesia. In this study, dexmedetomidine was compared with midazolam for sedation during epidural anesthesia in patients undergoing gynecologic surgery.

Materials and Methods

This double-blinded (patient and assessor), randomized comparison study was conducted with the QingDao University...
Institutional Review Board approval and written, informed consent, 140 ASA physical status I and II patients aged 37 to 65 years and scheduled for epidural anesthesia for surgery of oophorectomy or/and hysterectomy, were enrolled. Those with hepatic, renal, cardiovascular, respiratory, neurological diseases, or gynecologic cancer which was proved by intraoperative pathology were excluded in this study.

The sedation drug was prepared by an anaesthesiologist who did not participate in patient management or data collection. Either dexmedetomidine 1µg/kg or midazolam 0.1 mg/kg was mixed with normal saline to a total volume of 20 mL and this was given to the attending anaesthesiologist for administration. Both preparations were clear solutions and patients, medical and nursing staff and data collectors were blind to the allocated drug.

Hydroxyzine 50 mg and atropine 0.5 mg were administered intramuscularly (IM) 30 minutes before anesthesia as our routine premedication. Either dexmedetomidine 1µg/kg or midazolam 0.1 mg/kg was mixed with normal saline to a total volume of 20 mL and this was given to the attending anaesthesiologist for administration. Both preparations were clear solutions and patients, medical and nursing staff and data collectors were blind to the allocated drug.

Hydroxyzine 50 mg and atropine 0.5 mg were administered intramuscularly (IM) 30 minutes before anesthesia as our routine premedication. On arrival at the operating theatre, a 22-gauge intravenous cannula was inserted. Lactated Ringer’s solution was infused at 10 mL/kg/hr for the first hour, followed by 5 mL/kg/hr. An epidural catheter was inserted into L₁-L₂ or L₂-L₃ interspaces using the median approach and the hanging-drop or the loss-of-resistance technique. After aspiration for blood or cerebrospinal fluid, a 3 mL test dose of 2% lidocaine was injected to rule out subarachnoid placement of the catheter. Epidural anesthesia (EA) was induced by epidural administration of 0.1 mL/kg of a mixture of 1.5% lidocaine and 2 µg/mL fentanyl, as a loading dose to achieve a sensory level up to dermatome T8. 4 to 6 mL of the same mixture was administered epidurally every 45 minutes. Anesthesia level was checked with cold sensation after 15 minutes. When anesthesia level became adequate for surgery, patients were randomly assigned by computer-generated randomization schedule to the midazolam (MDZ) group or the dexmedetomidine (DEX) group. The 20 mL solution of study drug was infused at 60 mL/h for the first 10 min (loading dose: DEX 0.5 µg/kg, MDZ 0.05 mg/kg), followed by 10 mL/h (maintenance dose: DEX 0.5 µg/kg/h, MDZ 0.05 mg/kg/h). On achieving the targeted Ramsay Sedation Scale (RSS) [13] scores (3 - 5), surgery was begun. The infusion was stopped after the full 20 ml had been given. Ephedrine 6 mg or atropine 0.5 mg was given intravenously in the event of hypotension (systolic blood pressure reduced by 30% compared with the baseline or systolic blood pressure less than 90 mmHg) or bradycardia (HR < 50 times/min). If respiratory depression (the oxygen saturation decreased to less than 95%) occurred, mask pressure ventilation was given; inadequate analgesia was treated with intravenous injection

Table 1. The Patients’ Characteristics and Perioperative Data.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>MDZ group (n = 62)</th>
<th>DEX group (n = 61)</th>
<th>P Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (y)</td>
<td>50 ± 6</td>
<td>49 ± 4</td>
<td>0.391</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight (kg)</td>
<td>68 ± 3</td>
<td>67 ± 8</td>
<td>0.947</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASA Grade</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>52 (97%)</td>
<td>53 (97%)</td>
<td>0.504</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>11 (3%)</td>
<td>8 (3%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RASS of three or above (n, %)</td>
<td>61 (97%)</td>
<td>61 (100%)</td>
<td>0.162</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operative time (min)</td>
<td>101 ± 30</td>
<td>102 ± 41</td>
<td>0.879</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total fentanyl dose (mg)</td>
<td>54 ± 11</td>
<td>17 ± 7</td>
<td>0.016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bradycardia (n, %)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypotension (n, %)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.664</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Respiratory depression (n, %)</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recovery time (min)</td>
<td>45 ± 8</td>
<td>42 ± 5</td>
<td>0.495</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean patients’ satisfaction score</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.779</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean surgeons’ satisfaction score</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.834</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are given as mean ± standard deviation or as percentage.
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of fentanyl 1 μg/kg.

Hemodynamics, including heart rate (HR), systolic blood pressure (SBP), and diastolic blood pressure (DBP), as well as oxygen saturation and RSS scores, were recorded every 10 minutes during infusion (T₁₋₇), at the end of operation (T₈) and then every 15 minutes for 1 hour in the recovery room (T₉₋₁₂). After surgery, continuous epidural administration of 0.25% bupivacaine 2 mL/hr with fentanyl 20 μg/hr was used for pain relief. The time to get return to RSS score 2 after stopping infusion was measured as a recovery time. The primary outcome measure of this study was the patient and chief surgeon satisfaction scores using NRS from zero to 10 (zero being least satisfied and 10 most satisfied).

Statistical considerations

Descriptive statistics are summarized as mean ± standard, whereas categorical variables are expressed in percentages. Analysis of variance (ANOVA) with repeated measures was used to study the differences of continuous variables between the two groups. Categorical variables were analyzed with chi-square test. All analysis was done by SPSS 13 for Windows. A P value ≤ 0.05 was considered significant. This study was significantly powered to 10% difference in the proportion of patients with a RSS score of < 3 (1 - 6 scale) with an α of 0.05 and a β of 0.20.

Results

One hundred and forty patients were enrolled into the study between April 2009 and March 2010. Seven patients were excluded for failing to catheterize the epidural space; nine patients were excluded for being diagnosed gynecologic cancer
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**Figure 1.** Heart rate (HR, beats/min) variables during study drug infusion (T₁₋₇), the end of surgical procedures (T₈), recovery period (T₉₋₁₂). HR in group DEX was significantly lower than Group MDZ (**P < 0.001**). The time scale is not linear.
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**Figure 2.** Mean artery pressure (MAP, mmHg) was similar between groups (all P > 0.05).
In total, 124 patients were evaluable for outcomes-63 receiving MID and 61 receiving DEX. The patients’ characteristics were similar between the two groups (Table 1).

The patients who had received DEX for sedation during the surgical procedure had significantly reduced fentanyl needs and had no respiratory depression (Table 1). The number of patients who suffered bradycardia was significantly larger in the DEX group (Table 1). Patients and surgeons satisfaction scores were similar between groups and most would choose the same intravenous sedation for a similar procedure in the future, and there was no difference in time to consciousness recovery (Table 1).

Heart rate decreased significantly during dexmedetomidine administration (Fig. 1). MAP was significantly reduced during the intraoperative period in two groups, and the reduction did not show significant differences between the two groups (Fig. 2). Sedation scores were similar between groups during surgical procedures (Fig. 3), but BIS were significantly lower in group DEX during surgical procedures (Fig. 4).

**Discussion**

Our study demonstrates that dexmedetomidine can provide comparable sedation when compared to midazolam for gynecologic surgery under epidural anesthesia. A lower heart rate and no respiratory depress, as well as easy wake-up, can be achieved by using dexmedetomidine.

Bolus administration of sedative cannot provide constant level of sedation. In contrast, continuous infusion can provide constant sedation level but usually prolongs onset time of sedation compared with the intermittent bolus technique [14]. There are many studies to compare the usefulness of continuous infusion of midazolam and dexmedetomidine...
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During regional anesthesia [15, 16]. However, few studies are available to investigate bolus combined continuous infusion regimen of midazolam or dexmedetomidine. This study proved the regimen can induce rapid and effective sedation during epidural anesthesia.

Cheung CW [17] suggested that dexmedetomidine 0.88 μg/kg and midazolam 0.07 mg/kg for patients undergoing local anesthesia to provide a Ramsay Sedation Score greater or equal to four which was felt to be a clinically acceptable level of sedation. In our preliminary study infusing many different doses of midazolam or dexmedetomidine for induction of sedation, we found that 0.05 mg/kg of midazolam or 0.5 μg/kg of dexmedetomidine might be recommended as an initial infusion dose which provided excellent sedation (RSS ≥ 3) for Patients undergoing gynecologic surgery under epidural anesthesia. Then we chose the initial doses used in the present study. All patients receiving dexmedetomidine reached RSS of three or above, whereas two patients receiving midazolam did not, which inferred that 0.05 mg/kg of midazolam was insufficient for some patients. The patients receiving midazolam for sedation achieved levels of sedation more rapidly than those receiving dexmedetomidine.

The bispectral index (BIS) is a continuous noninvasive electroencephalographic (EEG) method that has been proposed to monitor the hypnotic state during sedation and anesthesia [18-20]. Trittsch and colleagues [21] found significant correlation for deeply sedated patients whereas no correlation was found in the group with light sedation. But in our study strong correlation was present between BIS and RSS score in light sedation. This controversy might be due to the difference of the patients’ age (paediatric vs. adult) or method. Our main finding is that at comparable RASS scores, BIS values were lower with dexmedetomidine sedation than with midazolam. It is widely recognized among anesthesiologists that BIS values between 40 and 60 generally indicates adequate general anesthesia for surgery and the patient cannot be awaken at this time. In our study, however, 68% of the BIS values were between 40 and 60 during dexmedetomidine sedation, when the RSS score was 3, which is considered an arousable and shallow sedation level. Our results indicate that BIS values do depend on the specific sedative. For example, ketamine paradoxically increases BIS despite a deep clinical level of hypnosis [22-24]. Low BIS values at any given RSS score do not indicate that BIS is “wrong,” rather that the characteristics of the 2 drugs differ substantially and that BIS values need to be interpreted in context.

The ideal sedation provides patient comfort and maintenance of spontaneous respiration without altering airway function. Bolus administration of midazolam 0.05 mg/kg was reported to give enough amnesia and sedation without any adverse effects on hemodynamics and respiration [25]. But in our study 8 of the 63 MDZ patients experienced respiratory depression requiring intervention. This might be due to the more fentanyl consumption or sedative function of epidural anesthesia which had been documented by some investigators [26, 27]. Dexmedetomidine cannot cause respiratory depression [28, 29]; this was confirmed in our study. Whilst because of the analgesic effects of dexmedetomidine, patients who had received for sedation during the surgical procedure had significantly reduced analgesic needs (use of fentanyl), this would also reduce the incidence of respiratory depression.

After infusion of dexmedetomidine or midazolam, blood pressure, heart rate and cardiac output decreases slightly. Dexmedetomidine can cause an increase in arterial pressure upon rapid bolus infusion [30]. This is due to direct effects on vascular alpha-1 receptors. This was minimized in our study by slowly infusing the drug, but this will take more time to reach sedation end point when compared to midazolam which also has to be given reasonably slowly as it has a relatively slow time to peak effect [31]. There was not statistically significant in incidence of hypotension between the two groups. But the DEX patients in this study had a significant reduction in HR which occurred most commonly during a bolus or within 10 minutes of the start of an infusion. This finding could be due to: 1) lower basal HR from increased vagal tone; 2) the baroreceptor response of high vascular tone that occurs with the bolus; or 3) high doses and decreased circulating levels of nor epinephrine [32]. The effects of dexmedetomidine on the cardiovascular system may be beneficial in high risk patients [33], but it should be used with caution in patients with bradycardia.

In summary, dexmedetomidine achieved similar levels of sedation to midazolam in gynecologic surgery under epidural anesthesia. Compared with midazolam, it can provide an arousable sedation, an analgesia-sparing effect and without respiratory depression. BIS values are significantly less with dexmedetomidine than with midazolam sedation at comparable RSS scores.
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