Giant Mucinous Cystadenoma of the Appendix With Low Grade Dysplasia Presented as Acute Appendicitis: A Case Report and Literature Review

Aleksandr A. Reznichenko

Abstract

Mucinous cystadenoma of the appendix is an unusual neoplasm, which represents one of the histological subtypes of mucocele. Giant appendiceal cystadenomas are extremely rare and most commonly presented with palpable abdominal mass, chronic right lower quadrant (RLQ) pain, or could be asymptomatic. We present a 51-year-old female with clinical picture of acute appendicitis and a giant mucinous cystadenoma of the appendix with low grade dysplasia.

Keywords: Mucocele; Mucinous cystadenoma; Appendicitis; Appendectomy; Colectomy; Pseudomixoma peritonei

Introduction

Mucinous cystadenoma of the appendix is one of the histological types of mucocele and it accounts for 63-84% of all cases. Clinical picture of these lesions is unspecific, and most commonly they present with palpable abdominal mass, chronic right lower quadrant (RLQ) pain, or could be asymptomatic. Computer tomography (CT) is the gold standard for the diagnosis. Treatment requires surgery. Extent of the operation depends on the dimension and histological type of the lesion.

Giant mucinous cystadenomas are extremely rare. It is not clear, what should be called a “giant cystadenoma”. The vast majority of giant appendiceal cystadenomas were 10 cm or larger. We accounted 18 patients in the literature, who were diagnosed with mucinous cystadenoma of the appendix with the size of the neoplasm exceeding 10 cm.

We analyzed clinical and radiological features of giant mucinous cystadenomas, as well as differences in surgical strategy.

We report an unusual case of a giant mucinous cystadenoma of the appendix in a 51-year-old female, who presented with clinical picture of acute appendicitis.

Case Report

A 51-year-old female patient with history of obesity, diabetes, high blood pressure, and C-section was presented to the ER with 24 h of severe RLQ abdominal pain, nausea and chills. Her vitals signs were stable. On exam she had tenderness in RLQ without peritoneal signs. Her white blood cell (WBC) count was 15.2. Abdominal CT showed a tubular structure 11.8 × 3.9 cm in right iliac fossa, with thick wall and broad base, connected to the cecum, with adjacent mesenteric stranding (Fig. 1, 2). Diagnosis of appendiceal mucocele was suspected, and patient was admitted to the hospital. After bowel preparation, patient was taken to the OR. Exploratory laparotomy was performed. Large mass approximately 12 × 4 cm, connected to the cecum, with broad and hard base was found, and right hemicolectomy was performed (Fig. 3). Frozen section during surgery was inconclusive. Final pathology showed mucinous cystadenoma with low grade dysplasia, chronic active inflammation with extensive mucosal ulceration (Fig. 4). Patient had successful and uneventful recovery. There was no symptoms or recurrence 9 months after operation.

Discussion

Appendiceal mucoceles, first described by Rokitansky, repre-
sent a progressive dilatation of the appendix from intraluminal accumulation of the mucus [1]. They are rare entities with an incidence 0.2-0.7% in appendectomy (APPY) cases [2-6]. Among all appendiceal tumors, mucocele is second only to carcinoid [7].

Mucoceles of the appendix are classified according to histological characteristics of lumen obstruction. They are divided into simple mucocele or retention cyst, hyperplastic mucocele, mucinous cystadenoma and mucinous adenocarcinoma. The latter two are often referred to as neoplastic mucoceles. Both mucinous cystadenoma and mucinous adenocarcinoma may cause pseudomixoma peritonei, a potentially devastating complication of mucocele rupture [8, 9].

The size of the non-neoplastic mucoceles (simple and hyperplastic) is smaller than neoplastic mucoceles and generally does not exceed 2 cm; however, there is no difference related to size between benign (cystadenoma) and malignant (adenocarcinoma) neoplastic mucoceles. Hyperplastic mucocele accounts for 5-25% of cases, mucinous cystadenoma (most common type) for 63-84%, and mucinous cystoadenocarcinoma for 11-20% of all mucoceles. Both mucinous cystadenoma and cystoadenocarcinoma are characterized by marked distention of the appendiceal lumen up to 6 cm [9, 10].

The clinical presentation of appendiceal mucoceles is usually unspecific; the most common symptom is abdominal pain with or without palpable mass in RLQ [9, 11]. Very large lesions could be asymptomatic up to 51% [12, 13]. Rare complications such as appendicular intussusception have being reported [14].

Preoperative imaging studies help to visualize a cystic mass connected to the cecum. It is generally accepted that CT is better than ultrasound (US) and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) to diagnose mucocele: however, it is difficult to distinguish between benign and malignant neoplastic mucoceles [9, 15-17].
### Table 1. Clinical and Radiological Characteristics of Giant Appendiceal Mucinous Cystadenomas: Literature Review

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author, year</th>
<th>Demographics</th>
<th>Symptoms</th>
<th>Imaging studies</th>
<th>Other symptoms or problems</th>
<th>F/U</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Gender</td>
<td>RLQ pain, acute</td>
<td>RLQ pain, chronic</td>
<td>Palpable mass</td>
<td>No symptoms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rampone et al, 2005 [10]</td>
<td>51 F</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lin et al, 2014 [13]</td>
<td>64 M</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Butte et al, 2007 [14]</td>
<td>41 F</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tsitouridis et al, 2002 [15]</td>
<td>72 F</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lin et al, 2014 [13]</td>
<td>65 M</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jha et al, 2014 [19]</td>
<td>80 F</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minni et al, 2001 [22]</td>
<td>66 M</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sakman et al, 2006 [23]</td>
<td>55 F</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Djuranovic et al, 2006 [24]</td>
<td>57 M</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alese et al, 2010 [25]</td>
<td>74 M</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jarsun et al, 2011 [26]</td>
<td>55 M</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McFarlane et al, 2013 [27]</td>
<td>54 M</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Luk et al, 2013 [28]</td>
<td>61 F</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chaudhary, 2014 [29]</td>
<td>65 M</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Akagi et al, 2014 [30]</td>
<td>48 F</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

RLQ: right lower quadrant; CT: computer tomography; MRI: magnetic resonance imaging; US: ultrasound; DVT: deep venous thrombosis; APPY: appendectomy; EC: enterocutaneous fistula.
Appendiceal mucocele requires surgical resection. The extent of surgery remains controversial and depends on the size and histology type. For simple and hyperplastic mucoceles of smaller size, APPY is adequate. Cecal resection is indicated for cystadenomas with large base, and hemicolectomy is recommended for cystadenocarcinomas [9, 10, 18, 19]. There is no consensus regarding frozen section of the removed specimen during surgery as it is not always accurate [20].

Giant mucinous cystadenomas of the appendix are extremely rare and only few cases have been reported. There is no clear definition of giant cystadenoma. According to the literature, the vast majority of giant appendiceal cystadenomas were described to be bigger than 10 cm in size [10, 13-15, 18-30]. We included 18 patients into our literature review, who were diagnosed with mucinous cystadenoma of the appendix with the size of the neoplasm exceeding 10 cm. Patients with cystadenocarcinoma were not included. Patients with mucocele 10 cm and larger but without elements of mucinous cystadenoma were not included. We analyzed clinical and radiological characteristics of giant mucinous cystadenoma, as well as different surgical approaches. Results of this analysis are summarized in Table 1.

Giant mucinous cystadenoma of the appendix was seen slightly more often in men, but it was not statistically significant. Majority of the patients were older than 50 years (89%). The most common symptom was palpable mass (55%), followed by chronic RLQ pain (33%). Absence of symptoms was noticed in one patient (5%). Acute onset of RLQ pain was present in the only one patient (5%), who was emergently taken to the OR and the diagnosis of appendiceal tumor was made intraoperatively [23]. We present another case of giant mucinous cystadenoma of the appendix with the clinical presentation of acute appendicitis. Our case is noticeable because, despite an unusual clinical presentation, the diagnosis of mucocele was suspected prior to surgery and patient was well prepared for the operation.

Imaging studies were important in evaluation of appendiceal neoplasms. CT was more informative among other tests (MRI and US) and most commonly was showing large hypodense encapsulated mass with fluid attenuation and calcified wall.

Decision about extent of surgical resection was made most of the time during surgery based on the thickness and involvement of the cecal base in the pathological process. Laparoscopic approach was not utilized, because of risk of mucocele rupture. Open APPY was considered appropriate for mucinous cystadenomas without involvement of the cecum. Cecectomy or right hemicolectomy were performed in patients with thick appendiceal base and involvement of the cecum, with right hemicolectomy being the preferred approach. We agree with this choice, particularly when frozen section is not really helpful, like it was in our case.

Conclusion

Giant mucinous cystadenomas of the appendix are extremely rare. They most commonly present with palpable mass, but not in acute settings. We present an unusual case of giant mucinous cystadenoma, with clinical picture of acute appendicitis. We proposed to define a giant mucinous cystadenoma as an appendiceal neoplasm exceeding 10 cm in size. CT remains the gold standard for the diagnosis. Extent of surgical resection depends on the histology type, as well as thickness and involvement of the cecal base.
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